

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		• •	STATES OF					
• :	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	APPLICANT	·.	ATTY.	DOCKET NO.	
	09/048,966	03/26/98	•		E		00/1001	
				•	EXAMINER		NER	
	HM12/0113				<u> </u>			
	LAW OFFICES OF ANN W SPECKMAN					LILLING H ARTUNIT PAPER NUM		
	2601ELLIOT SUITE 4185	T AVENUE				ONI)	PAPER NUMBER	
	SEATTLE WA	98121		,	1651		\sim	
٠.	· · · .				DATE MA	ILED: 0.1	(13/99	
	01/13/99							
			•					
	This is a communication fro COMMISSIONER OF PATE			entral en	*	·		
	OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY							
Ø.	Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on _	DECEMBER	21,1998		•		
	This action is FINAL.			· -				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), or thirty days,								
Whi	chever is longer, from the	mailing date of this	s communication. Failure to	respond within the pe	eriod for re	sponse wil	cause	
	application to become ab 36(a).	andoned. (35 U.S	.C. § 133). Extensions of tim	e may be obtained u	nder the p	rovisions o	137 CFR	
			•					
Dis	position of Claims	(,	·			
為	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.							
_	Of the above, claim(s) 1-13, 20, 22, 24 is/are withdrawn from consideration							
Z	Claim(s) \\\+-\5	-\\\ 7_\ \C-\	0 2) 22	<u> </u>			re allowed. re rejected.	
Ď	Claim(s)							
\Box	7							
App	lication Papers							
	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.							
	he drawing(s) filed onis/are objected to by the Examiner.							
	The proposed drawing co				is 🔲 app	proved 🔲	disapproved.	
님	The specification is object. The oath or declaration is	•	·					
ш			e Examiner.					
Pric	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19		•				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
	All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been							
	received.	ion No. (0-1 0	da/Oasial Norma				•	
	received in Applicati		le/Serial Number) on from the International Bui	eau (PCT Rule 17 9/	 (a)).			
			international bul	(1 OT HUID 17.2)	- 11·			
*	Certified copies not receive	ved:					·	
	Acknowledgment is mad	e of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s) Ser No 09 048,966						8966		
M	Notice of Reference Cite	d, PTO-892	•	_		-17-1	-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
2			1449, Paper No(s). 4 (48	A605)				
	nformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). H (49nces)							
	Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948							
	•	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
	or morniar i albiit							

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Esperagia.

Application/Control Number: 09/048,966 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the election, information prior art disclosure statement and amendment filed December 21, 1998.

- 2. Claims 1-24 remain present in the instant application.
- Applicant has elected Invention III, Claims 14-19, 21 or 23, drawn to a method of administering the composition for treating diabetic patients, impaired glucose tolerance, regenerating the pancreatic islets, increasing endogenous insulin levels in a patient or proinsulin in a patient, classified in class 514, subclass one plus.

Claims 1-13, drawn to a method for isolating a composition from the leaves of Gymnema sylvestre a composition obtained from the leaves of Gymnema sylvestre, classified in class 424, subclass 195.1 as well as claims 20, 22 and 24 have been withdrawn from consideration.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/048,966 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 14 - 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hiji U.S. 4,912,089 or Claims 14 and 117-19 and 21 over Shanmugasundaram et al (AR).

teaches that the dried leaf extracts of GS prepared by essentially the same procedure as required by the instant claims were able to increase the beta and islets cells, see Examples page 268.

The reference to Hiji teaches the preparation of extracts which are within the scope of the claimed extract, see column 2 whereby the Gymnema sylvestre is prepared by immersing dried leaves in an aqueous solution with alcohol (see line 33) whereby the solution is adjusted to a pH of 3 and then washed with water to yield a precipitate that is considered to be the same or functions as the same as that required by the instant claims absence a showing to the contrary.

The reference also teaches that a patient is treated with the extract, see Figure 3, whereby the blood sugar is decreased or inhibited which disclosure is considered to be the same as that claimed for the treatment of diabetic patient or for a glucose tolerance test, see column 7, lines 47-50.

Shanmugasundaram et al (AR) teaches that the dried leaf extracts of GS prepared by essentially the same procedure as required by the instant claims were able to increase the beta and islets cells, see Examples page 268.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/048,966

Art Unit: 1651

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-19, 21 or 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiji U.S. 4,912,089 alone for Claims 14-17 or Shanmugasundaram et al (AR) further in view of Womack, U.S. 5,730,98 for Claims 14-19, 21 or 23.

Hiji teaches the preparation of extracts which extracts are useful for controlling sugars as in oral glucose tolerance tests and inhibiting the increase in sugar concentrations in blood sugars.

Womack teaches that GS extracts have reasonable expectations to enhance endogenous insulin, regenerate beta cells, reduce blood sugar and treat diabetics.

Shanmugasundaram et al (AR) teaches that the dried leaf extracts of GS prepared by essentially the same procedure as required by the instant claims were able to increase the beta and islets cells, see Examples page 268.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/048,966

Art Unit: 1651

Thus, in view of the combination of references, one of ordinary skill in the art would have considered at the time the invention was made that the references strongly suggested and motivated one in the art to employ the extract of Hiji or Shanmugasundaram et al (AR) which two references teach that the dried leaf extracts of GS prepared by essentially the same procedure as required by the instant claims were able to increase the beta and islets cells, control or treat the various ailments of Claims 14-19, 21 and 23, absent a showing of unexpected or unobvious process steps or data on the record. The specification examples and data do not appear to demonstrate unexpected results based on the disclosures of the prior art which would have expected the improved results.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7. examiner should be directed to Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is (703) 308-2034 and fax number (Art Unit 1651) is (703) 305-7939 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is (703) 308-4743. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (703) 308-2034 Art Unit 1651 January 12, 1999

6.

GROUP 1000 ART UNIT 151